

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trai | lark Office Address: COMMISSION___ OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				βS
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	TY DOCKET NO
April 50 and a second	4) 11/12/9	8 NGUYEN	C A	M-888/T-020
			Đ	AMINER
		IM62/0606		
MICHAEL B EINSCHLAG MS 2634			FUEL FR	PAPER NUMBER
LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPT APPLIED MATERIALS INC			<u> </u>	11
3050 BOWERS AVENUE			1763	
SANTA CLA	ARA CA 95054		DATE MAILED:	06/06/00

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 45	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of to 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause
Disposition of Claims	
✓ Claim(s)/~30 + 46 Of the above, claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☑ Claim(s) /- 30 446	
Claim(s) Claim(s)	
Application Papers	•
On the weeked Neitre of Define week Detect Design Design De	70.040
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	
The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.0 	.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prior	
	Thy decamend have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International B	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S	.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
Interview Summary, PTO-413	
-	
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DELICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 1763

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 15-30 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagashima (EP 045088). Sivaramakrishnan (EP 0602595) and Siegele (5,607,002) in view of Langford (The Making, Shaping and Treating of Steel) or Maruhashi (4,594,114). Nagashima (col. 4, line 36), Sivaramakrishnan (col. 3, lines 50-52 and col. 5, lines 53-54) and Siegele (col. 2, line 11 and col. 23, lines 40-60) all teach the use of stainless steel as a material of construction of semiconductor processing apparatus of the type contemplated by applicants. They do not discuss the amount of nickel in their stainless steel. Langford and Maruhashi make clear that stainless steel having little or no nickel is common and well known as corrosion resistant material. It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one skilled in the art to use a low nickel stainless steel in the apparatus of Nagashima, Sivaramakrishnan and Siegele, in the absence of a showing of unexpected results, <u>commensurate in scope</u> with the claims.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references cited above, taken in further view of Yamaguchi (5,520,858) and Stauffer (5,252,134). Yamaguchi (Fig. 1 and col. 2, line 10) and Stauffer (Figs. 2A and 2B and col. 7, lines 18-45) disclose gas bubblers and boilers for delivering reaction gases to semiconductor processing

Application/Control Number: 09190,961 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

apparatus. It would have been prima facie obvious to use low nickel stainless steel as a material of construction of these apparatus also.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Bueker whose telephone number is (703) 308-1895.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

RICHARD BUEKER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 17:23